United States Patent and Trademark Office

m-

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/718,371	11/24/2000	Chang-Woong Yoo	P56218	3060	
7590 06/19/2007 Robert E. Bushnell			EXAMINER		
Suite 300 1522 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005			ROCHE, TRENTON J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
washington, 2	0 2000	·	2193		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/718,371	YOO, CHANG-WOONG		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Trenton J. Roche	2193		
, Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - External afternal - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB.	CATION. poly be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21-M	larch 2007.			
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-22 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	tion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)☐ objected to I	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·	•		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
3) Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office action is responsive to communications filed 21 March 2007
- 2. Claims 1-22 are currently pending and have been examined.

Double Patenting

3. In view of Applicant's arguments filed 21 March 2007, the rejection of claims 6 and 8 based on obviousness-type double patenting has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,411,941 to Mullor et al. ("Mullor").

Regarding claim 6:

Mullor discloses:

- product key information corresponding to a system program ("extracting license information from software program" in col. 8 line 40. The license information is interpreted as product key information.)
- executing a product key information writing program; writing the input product key

Application/Control Number: 09/718,371

information into the auxiliary memory ("using an agent to perform . . . storing the encrypting license information in a second erasable, writable, non-volatile memory area of the BIOS of the computer" in col. 8 lines 39-46)

substantially as claimed. Mullor does not explicitly disclose that the key information corresponds to a procedure of installing an operating system program. However, an operating system program is still a program similar to that disclosed in Mullor, and as such, Official Notice is taken that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the license technique of Mullor for installation of an operating system program, as this would restrict unauthorized use of the operating system program, as noted by Mullor in col. 1 lines 12-14.

Furthermore, while Mullor discloses that the license information (product key information) is generated in col. 6 lines 23-28, Mullor does not explicitly disclose that the product key information is manually input by a user. Official Notice is taken, however, that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a user manually input product key information, as this would reduce processing cycles required to generate the key, as well as allow any key to be entered, rather than being restricted to what is generated by the encrypting process.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-5 and 8-22 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2193

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trenton J. Roche whose telephone number is (571) 272-3733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Trenton J Roche Examiner Art Unit 2193

TJR

MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100